**CLN4U – SUPREME COURT MOCK TRIAL**

**RESEARCH ADVICE**

**RELIGION**

*CASES - Multani v. Commission scolaire Marguerite-Bourgeoys*

* *Alberta v. Hutterian Brethren of Wilson Colony*
* *R. v. Big Drug Mart Ltd.*

*EXPERT - Need to find experts that suggest religions SHOULD or SHOULD*

*OPINION or SHOULD NOT be accommodated*

*(Best case scenario – it’s about SECURITY...if not, the broader argument that religious difference need to be/shouldn’t be accommodated)*

*American Cake Case is a good starting point*

**MOBILITY**

*CASES* - Simply look at the application of the U.S. Patriot Act

* + Look at both the LAW itself & how it has been used
  + Detainment & Deportation
  + Is it okay, with security in mind, to arbitrarily detain or deport people

CURRENT - Current airport detainments in US (Trumps travel ban)

OPINIONS/- Is it right/wrong to **not** allow people to travel due to ‘potential’

EXPERTS danger??

* Broader issue of it being wrong to hinder MOVEMENT (ex. Limiting refugees)

**SECURITY OF PERSON**

*CASES -*  Carter v. Canada & Rodriguez v. British Columbia

* R. v. Morgentaler

EXPERT - Holy Mother…you will DROWN in experts saying

OPINIONS - The scanners WORK & are needed

- The scanners SUCK & are dangerous

* Overall, you need to find a LOT of arguments suggesting they should/shouldn’t have been accommodated

**JUDGE EXPECTATIONS FOR PRE-TRAIL NOTES**

**CASES**

CASE NAME:

REPORT:

CASE CITATION:

You must complete a “FIDO” for EACH case you review. Instead of O – Opinion, we will turn it into Q – Questions.

Facts – What happened in the cases?

* 1 Paragraph stating WHAT happened

Issue – What was being challenged at the Supreme Court?

* 1 **SENTENCE**

Decision – Point out the Supreme Court logic in their decisions (Oakes Test Sections)

* Multiple bullet points showing you understand WHY the Supreme Court decided what they decided

Questions – RESPONDENT (CROWN) QUESTIONS

APPELLANT (DEFENSE) QUESTIONS

**EXPERT OPINIONS**

Using EBSCO & TRUSTWORTHY websites -

PRINT THE ARTICLE!!! Fill out the proper Chicago citation for it!!

Create BULLET point notes on a separate sheet to highlight the important points being raised

CROWN QUESTIONS

DEFENSE QUESTIONS

Before you read the factums, you must have compiled a “Pre-Factum” Question List for the CROWN and the DEFENSE.

After reading the factums, you must revise your Question List (create a FINAL one). On the Final Question List:

* Highlight Questions that you had originally created without the factum
* Questions that you generate FROM the factum should have a paragraph # in brackets to indicate what paragraph you were referring to when asking (36)…this is so the lawyers can jump to their point quickly